

-ENFTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE-Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspko.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 72478-9600 2604 07/17/2003 Tetsuya Matsutani 10/621,502 EXAMINER 04/08/2005 AHMED, SHAMIM Joseph W. Price SNELL & WILMER L.L.P. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 1200 1920 Main Street 1765 Irvine, CA 92614-7230

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | 11/ |
|---|--|--|-------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/621,502 | MATSUTANI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Shamim Ahmed | 1765 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | appears on the cover sheet wit | h the correspondence address | • |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, at If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re i. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty mod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA | ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communicat | tion. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1 | 7 July 2003. | | |
| | This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the applicat | tion. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> are subject to restriction and | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exam | niner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But | nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a Attachment(s) | list of the certified copies not r | eceived. | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Su | immary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/621,502 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-13, drawn to a process, classified in class 216, subclass 13.
 - II. Claims 14-17, drawn to a device (product), classified in class 257, subclass 213+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a materially different process such as one with end-point detection step, without the inspection step or, alternatively, by another process where the second material does not react with the first material.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. A telephone call was made to Joseph W. Price on 3/31/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shamim Ahmed whose telephone number is (571) 272-1457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thu (7:00-5:30) Every Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,502

Art Unit: 1765

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shamim Ahmed Primary Examiner Art Unit 1765

SA April 2, 2005